

Re: Attorney Docket No. 1052.045In re application of: Dennis Cleary, Hayim Vitali Penso, and Chris ZappalaSerial No.: 10/766,347

Group Art Unit:

2611Filed: 01/28/04

Examiner:

Rahel GuarinoMatter No.: Andrew 1168

Phone No.:

571-270-1198For: Spread-Spectrum Receivers with Extended Dynamic Range**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Notice of Allowability mailed on 11/02/09 contains an Examiner's Statement/Comment of Reasons for Allowance. In the Statement/Comment, the Examiner stated that "Examiner assumes that the step [of 'determining whether to attenuate the received analog spread-spectrum signal' recited in claims 1, 28, and 29] is implemented by a 'variable attenuator (614)'." In response, the Applicant submits that the recited step can be, but does not have to be implemented by variable attenuator 614. For example, as described on page 3, lines 26-28, in the embodiment shown in Fig. 6, controller 612 uses control signal 610 to instruct variable attenuator 614 whether to perform selective attenuation of the received analog spread-spectrum signal. Thus, in that embodiment, controller 612, not variable attenuator 614, determines whether to attenuate the received analog spread-spectrum signal.

In general, to the extent that the Statement differs from the language of any of the independent claims, the Applicant rejects any narrowing or limitations that might possibly result from such differences.

For each of these reasons individually and for all these reasons collectively, the Applicant objects to the Statement.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 01/13/2010

Customer No. 79306

Mendelsohn, Drucker, & Associates, P.C.
1500 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 405
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

/Steve Mendelsohn/

Steve Mendelsohn
Registration No. 35,951
Attorney for Applicant
(215) 557-6657 (phone)
(215) 557-8477 (fax)